

A-7397



"Donald G. Sikora" <jake7@cablone.net> on 07/06/2001 10:30:53 AM

To. "FAR Secretariat" <farcase.2001-014@gsa.gov>
cc.

Subject. FAR Case 2001-014

To Whom It May Concern::

I am writing to express my opposition to the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council's proposal to repeal the Clinton administration's rules on federal contractor responsibility. The rules require contracting officers to look at a company's record of complying with the law in deciding whether the company is a "responsible contractor" eligible to receive a federal contract.

A company's track record of complying with the law should be an important factor in deciding whether the company deserves a federal contract. Companies that routinely disregard worker safety and health, fail to pay minimum wages and overtime as required by the law, or violate other laws providing fundamental protections to workers shouldn't be rewarded with federal contracts. That's unfair to companies that do comply with the law and allows violators to profit from their lawbreaking.

Federal contracts should go to responsible, law-abiding companies, not to corporate lawbreakers. I urge the FAR Council not to repeal the contractor responsibility rules and to let the rules go into effect without further delay.

I have worked under a contract with the Postal Service where the contractor discriminated, withheld benefits and violated many statutes yet as an employee there was no recourse because of the lack of administration of current laws. To further delute the laws just makes a sham of the law. Stop the deluting of laws that protect employees from corporate abuse.

Sincerely,
Donald G. Sikora
2822 N. Ricketts
Sherman, TX 75092

A-1403



"John C. Baciila Jr." <johnbadila@mindspring.com> on 06/06/2001 02:14:02 PM

To: "FAR Secretariat" <farcase.2001-014@gsa.gov>
cc:

Subject: FAR Case 2001-014

To Whom It May Concern::

I am writing to express my opposition to the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council's proposal to repeal the Clinton administration's rules on federal contractor responsibility. The rules require contracting officers to look at a company's record of complying with the law in deciding whether the company is a "responsible contractor" eligible to receive a federal contract.

A company's track record of complying with the law should be an important factor in deciding whether the company deserves a federal contract. Companies that routinely disregard worker safety and health, fail to pay minimum wages and overtime as required by the law, or violate other laws providing fundamental protections to workers shouldn't be rewarded with federal contracts. That's unfair to companies that do comply with the law and allows violators to profit from their lawbreaking.

Federal contracts should go to responsible, law-abiding companies, not to corporate lawbreakers. I urge the FAR Council not to repeal the contractor responsibility rules and to let the rules go into effect without further delay.

I further urge you to prevent outlaw companies from profiting from my tax dollars. Please do not repeal the contractor responsibility rules.

Sincerely,
John C. Badila Jr.
1100 Pensive Lane
Great Falls, , VA 22066

A-1401



"Michael Burt" <burtmichaelburt@yahoo.com> on 06/06/2001 02:02:27 PM

To: "FAR Secretariat" <farcase.2001-014@gsa.gov>
cc:

Subject: FAR Case 2001-014

To Whom It May Concern::

I am writing to express my opposition to the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council's proposal to repeal the Clinton administration's rules on federal contractor responsibility. The rules require contracting officers to look at a company's record of complying with the law in deciding whether the company is a "responsible contractor" eligible to receive a federal contract.

A company's track record of complying with the law should be an important factor in deciding whether the company deserves a federal contract. Companies that routinely disregard worker safety and health, fail to pay minimum wages and overtime as required by the law, or violate other laws providing fundamental protections to workers shouldn't be rewarded with federal contracts. That's unfair to companies that do comply with the law and allows violators to profit from their lawbreaking.

Federal contracts should go to responsible, law-abiding companies, not to corporate lawbreakers. I urge the FAR Council not to repeal the contractor responsibility rules and to let the rules go into effect without further delay.

Sincerely,
Michael Burt
247 Turf View Dr
Solana Beach, CA 92075

A 1402



"Marla Drucker" <marla-drucker@home.com> on 06/06/2001 02:04:48 PM

To: "FAR Secretariat" <farcase.2001-014@gsa.gov>
CC:

Subject: FAR Case 2001-014

To Whom It May Concern::

I am writing to express my opposition to the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council's proposal to repeal the Clinton administration's rules on federal contractor responsibility. The rules require contracting officers to look at a company's record of complying with the law in deciding whether the company is a "responsible contractor" eligible to receive a federal contract.

A company's track record of complying with the law should be an important factor in deciding whether the company deserves a federal contract. Companies that routinely disregard worker safety and health, fail to pay minimum wages and overtime as required by the law, or violate other laws providing fundamental protections to workers shouldn't be rewarded with federal contracts. That's unfair to companies that do comply with the law and allows violators to profit from their lawbreaking.

Federal contracts should go to responsible, law-abiding companies, not to corporate lawbreakers. I urge the FAR Council not to repeal the contractor responsibility rules and to let the rules go into effect without further delay.

Strong labor protection is a cornerstone of American democracy. As a voter and taxpayer, I will not tolerate this sort of attempt to undermine our American way of life!

Sincerely,
Marla Drucker
28 Allesarn Road
Asheville, NC 28804

A-1400



Gene <ex_pres_e@hotmail.com> on 06/06/2001 04:40:32 PM

To: "FAR Secretariat" <farcase.2001-014@gsa.gov>
cc:

Subject: FAR Case 2001-014

To **Whom** It May Concern::

I am writing to express **my** opposition to the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council's proposal to repeal the Clinton administration's rules on federal contractor responsibility. The rules require contracting officers to look at a company's record of complying with the law in deciding whether the company is a "responsible contractor" eligible to receive a federal contract.

A company's track record of complying with the law should be an important factor in deciding whether the company deserves a federal contract. Companies that routinely disregard worker safety and health, fail to pay minimum wages and overtime as required by the law, or violate other laws providing fundamental protections to workers shouldn't be rewarded with federal contracts. That's unfair to companies that do comply with the law and allows violators to profit from their lawbreaking.

Federal contracts should go to responsible, law-abiding companies, not to corporate lawbreakers. I urge the FAR Council not to repeal the contractor responsibility rules and to let the rules go into effect without further delay.

Sincerely,
Gene
233 marion
cannonsburgh, PA 15317

A-1404



"Daniel J. Wiczorek" <vicepresident@cwalocal4008.org> on 06/06/2001
02:18:14 PM

To: "FAR Secretariat" <farcase.2001-014@gsa.gov>
CC:

Subject: FAR Case 2001-014

To Whom It May Concern::

I am writing to express my opposition to the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council's proposal to repeal the Clinton administration's rules on federal contractor responsibility. The rules require contracting officers to look at a company's record of complying with the law in deciding whether the company is a "responsible contractor" eligible to receive a federal contract.

A company's track record of complying with the law should be an important factor in deciding whether the company deserves a federal contract. Companies that routinely disregard worker safety and health, fail to pay minimum wages and overtime as required by the law, or violate other laws providing fundamental protections to workers shouldn't be rewarded with federal contracts. That's unfair to companies that do comply with the law and allows violators to profit from their lawbreaking.

Federal contracts should go to responsible, law-abiding companies, not to corporate lawbreakers. I urge the FAR Council not to repeal the contractor responsibility rules and to let the rules go into effect without further delay.

I am a union officer with the Communications Workers of America, and I am very much concerned with workers rights and this issue. I urge you to not repeal the contractor responsibility rules.

Sincerely,
Daniel J. Wiczorek
18022 E. 9 mile rd.
Eastpointe, MI 48021

A-1405



"william jaskowiak" <wdjaskow@cubsmvp.com> on 06/06/2001 02:25:46 PM

To: "FAR Secretariat" <farcase.2001-014@gsa.gov>
cc:

Subject: FAR Case 2001-014

To Whom It May Concern::

I am writing to express my opposition to the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council's proposal to repeal the Clinton administration's rules on federal contractor responsibility. The rules require contracting officers to look at a company's record of complying with the law in deciding whether the company is a "responsible contractor" eligible to receive a federal contract.

A company's track record of complying with the law should be an important factor in deciding whether the company deserves a federal contract. Companies that routinely disregard worker safety and health, fail to pay minimum wages and overtime as required by the law, or violate other laws providing fundamental protections to workers shouldn't be rewarded with federal contracts. That's unfair to companies that do comply with the law and allows violators to profit from their lawbreaking.

Federal contracts should go to responsible, law-abiding companies, not to corporate lawbreakers. I urge the FAR Council not to repeal the contractor responsibility rules and to let the rules go into effect without further delay.

Sincerely,
William jaskowiak
2549 diane ln
eau claire, WI 54703

A-1406



"Lewis Birkhead" <imbirk2801in@aol.com> on 06/06/2001 02:26:10 PM

To: "FAR Secretariat" <farcase.2001-014@gsa.gov>
cc:

Subject: FAR Case 2001-014

To Whom It May Concern::

I am writing to express my opposition to the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council's proposal to repeal the Clinton administration's rules on federal contractor responsibility. The rules require contracting officers to look at a company's record of complying with the law in deciding whether the company is a "responsible contractor" eligible to receive a federal contract.

A company's track record of complying with the law should be an important factor in deciding whether the company deserves a federal contract. Companies that routinely disregard worker safety and health, fail to pay minimum wages and overtime as required by the law, or violate other laws providing fundamental protections to workers shouldn't be rewarded with federal contracts. That's unfair to companies that do comply with the law and allows violators to profit from their lawbreaking.

Federal contracts should go to responsible, law-abiding companies, not to corporate lawbreakers. I urge the FAR Council not to repeal the contractor responsibility rules and to let the rules go into effect without further delay.

Sincerely,
Lewis Birkhead
3652 Sailer Road
Mount Vernon, IN 47620